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## Statisticians for Society (S4S)

## Overview of scheme

- RSS matches charity organisations with statisticians
- A pro bono / volunteering scheme
- A committee overseeing the whole process


## Scoping

- Charity contacts S4S committee
- Scoper meets with charity to identify need \& form of support
- Charity fills in the scoping form
- Project title \& summary
- Skills / qualifications required
- Number of volunteers \& hours required
- Nature of data


## Advertising

- Committee emails opportunities to those signed up
- Information from the scoping form
- s4s@rss.org.uk
- Requirement: fellow of RSS


## My applications

- Unsuccessful the first time
- The advert I applied to the second time

Understanding if current boundaries are the most effective
Estimated time: $\mathbf{1 2}$ hours
We are in need of a volunteer for an organisation that operates a platform where people can exchange items for free. It runs in a way where the 'seller' can advertise an item, and a 'buyer' can connect, and then after agreeing, can then collect the item for free.

- $\geq 1$ statistician(s)


## After getting selected

- 3-way meeting: (on 2022-03-10)
- S4S project manager (Amirah)
- someone from Freegle (Edward)
- the statistician (me)
- Talk about project proposal
- Scope
- Timeline (3 months)
- Method
- Put what was discussed in the document
- Sent proposal to scoper to approve


# Working with Freegle and their data 

## Online platform



- https://www.ilovefreegle.org
- Online dating for stuff
- Like eBay or Gumtree
- no money involved
- Or donating stuff to charity shop
- more targeted


## Steps

1. Offerer puts item on Freegle
2. Replier responds to the post
3. Offerer and replier agree time and place
4. Replier goes to offerer's to pick up item
5. Offerer marks item gone on Freegle

## What the data look like

| \#\# | OfferID OfferLat | OfferLng OfferUI | ReplyLat ReplyLng | ReplyUID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#\# 1 | 6647324552.04057 | -0.702386 10216160 | $52.02535-0.801923$ | 37937662 |
| \#\# 2 | 6200443051.52760 | -0.721791 2364225 | $51.53138-0.720402$ | 571023 |
| \#\# 3 | 5916292550.80681 | $-1.8767203467712$ | $50.72900-1.840794$ | 36068373 |
| \#\# 4 | 5466791754.07795 | -2.840993 2060332 | $53.95756-2.830094$ | 3855713 |
| \#\# 5 | 6246367351.58688 | $-1.79502338607572$ | 51.57926-1.807035 | 33866461 |
| \#\# 6 | 5880150054.55918 | -2.496012 86915 | $54.79905-2.642533$ | 868189 |
| \#\# | MessagesExchanged | KnownSuccessful Po | itiveRating Negativ | veRating |
| \#\# 1 | 6 | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# 2 | 1 | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# 3 | 4 | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# 4 | 6 | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# 5 | 8 | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| \#\# 6 | 6 | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE |

## Causal diagram of variables



- What makes an exchange more likely to happen, \& by how much?


## Counts vs distance

$\square$ Successful Unsuccessful


## Success probability vs distance



## Counts vs \# messages



Success probability vs \# messages


## Logistic regression?

- Probability plateaus around 0.57
- In real-life data, probability approaches 1 as the covariate (\# messages) increases
- Replace $\log \frac{p}{1-p}$ by $\log \frac{p}{0.57-p}$ as linear combination of variables
- Call this the modified $\log$ odds


## Modified log-odds vs \# messages



## Modified log-odds vs distance



Number of rows

- 10,000
- 20,000
- 30,000
- 40,000
- 50,000


## Modified logistic regression

Vanilla model

$$
\log \frac{p_{i}}{1-p_{i}}=-0.618+0.0339 \times \text { Number of } \text { messages }_{i}-0.148 \times \log \left(\text { Distance }_{i}\right)
$$

Better:

$$
\log \frac{p_{i}}{0.565-p_{i}}=-2.79+0.783 \times \text { Number of messages }{ }_{i}-0.261 \times \log \left(\text { Distance }_{i}\right)
$$

Best:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \frac{p_{i}}{0.566-p_{i}}=-3.14+0.885 \times \text { Number of } \text { messages }_{i}-0.0653 \times \log \left(\text { Distance }_{i}\right) \\
&-0.0562 \times \text { Number of messages } \\
& i
\end{aligned} \times \log \left(\text { Distance }_{i}\right) \quad \text { Newcastle } \quad \text { University }
$$

## The mid-point call

- Me and S4S reviewers (and Amirah)
- I presented, they made comments
- Interaction term
- An extension to the project deadline
- Longer timeline
- More hours


## Potential changes

- Nudging those who messaged too few times
- Minimal difference for those messaged more than 10 times
- Current effectiveness of the platform
- Concrete numbers help


## Writing up

- A final report for RSS \& the charity
- Not a journal article
- Shared with others on the board of Freegle


## End of project call

- More analysis \& collaboration to follow, but "wrap-up" required for RSS
- 4-way meeting:
- Edward
- Me
- Scoper
- S4S project manager
- Scoper makes sure needs are met \& everyone is happy
- Edward \& I made recommendations


## Spatial analysis

Location of users


Interaction network


## Questions

- Are the communities learned from the data similar to those created by the board / volunteers?
- How far is a user willing to travel for an item?
- physical distance
- adjustment by urban scaling factors, and/or
- level of social deprivation


## Back to original project summary

Understanding if current boundaries are the most effective
Estimated time: $\mathbf{1 2}$ hours
We are in need of a volunteer for an organisation that operates a platform where people can exchange items for free. It runs in a way where the 'seller' can advertise an item, and a 'buyer' can connect, and then after agreeing, can then collect the item for free.

- I didn't answer this question
- MSc project!

> Some thoughts

## Great match

- The charity knows what needs to be answered
- They know their data well
- Knowledge of statisticians complement what they have


## Tips

- Give concrete models and numbers
- high-level advice
- Coding
- Data cleaning \& visualisation
- Reproducibility - one script to rule them all
- Meet in person
- The human interaction


## Lastly

Case study:

- https://rss.org.uk/membership/volunteering-and-promoting/statisticians-for-society-initiative/case-studies/freegle/

Thank you

